

United States Patent and Trademark Office



DATE MAILED: 11/22/2002

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/549,451	49,451 04/14/2000		Charles Bluth	M-8231 US	M-8231 US 8923	
24251	7590	11/22/2002				
SKJERVE		ILL LLP	EXAMI	EXAMINER		
25 METRO SUITE 700		10	ASTORINO, I	ASTORINO, MICHAEL C		
SAN JOSE, CA 95110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				3736		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
				Ch				
	Office Action Summary	09/549,451	BLUTH ET AL.					
4)	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Michael Astorino	3736	000				
Period 1	or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	orrespondence addr	ess				
THE - Ext afte - If th - If N - Fai - Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed /s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi	nunication.				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A	<u> August 2002</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
·	tion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)∏ Applica	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept	oted or b) objected to by the Exa	miner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappr	oved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	bly to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		age				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti			nnlication)				
,—	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pro			FF				
15)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •						
Attachme		, —						
2) 🔲 Not	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6.</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Patent Application (PTO-					
S. Patent and	Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/549,451

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

The examiner acknowledges the amendment filed August 21, 2002, wherein claims 1-37 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 7-16, 19-23, 24-26, 28, and 30-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballantyne et al. (US 5,867,821 A) in view of Reber et al. (US 5,961,451 A).

Ballantyne et al. discloses a controller with a display (6, 8, 10), local storage coupled to the controller (column 4, lines 4-15), a health test interface (column 1-2, ones 65-62) and communications interface (figure 1), a measurement interface (column 10), a smart card (columns 10-11, lines 58-11), a kiosk (216), a remote server (column 2, lines 5-12), a medical library (2), and electronic-commerce for purchase capabilities (column 2). However Ballantyne et al. does not disclose logic for controlling a health care interface that performs measurements on a user. Albeit, Ballantyne et al. does disclose that measured data is recorded on the interface. Moreover, Reber et al. a reference in an analogous art discloses a glucose monitoring apparatus wherein the sensor and housing transmits data to an external device (e.g. a personal digital assistant) which is capable of transmitting data to a communication network (column 4, lines 42-55). It would have

Application/Control Number: 09/549,451

Art Unit: 3736

been obvious to one in the art at the time of the invention to combine the electronic wellness information system of Ballantyne et al. with the sensor/measuring device of Reber et al., because the system would be more effective due to increased accuracy of measurements during hospital stays and home use. Furthermore, because the system would be electronically automated there is less of a chance of human error. The result of lowering error when taking a physiological measurement would cause system to distribute more accurate information to the patient.

3. Claims 1, 4, 6, 17-18, 27, 29 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ballantyne et al. (US 5,867,821 A) in view of Cosentino et al. (US 6,290,646 B1).

Ballantyne et al. discloses a controller with a display (6, 8, 10), local storage coupled to the controller (column 4, lines 4-15), a health test interface (column 1-2, ones 65-62) and communications interface (figure 1), a measurement interface (column 10), a smart card (columns 10-11, lines 58-11), a kiosk (216), a remote server (column 2, lines 5-12), a medical library (2), and electronic-commerce for purchase capabilities (column 2). However Ballantyne et al. does not disclose logic for controlling a health care interface that performs measurements on a user. Albeit, Ballantyne et al. does disclose that measured data is recorded on the interface. Moreover, Cosentino et al. a reference in an analogous art discloses a weight monitoring apparatus (18) wherein the sensor and housing transmits data to an external device (55) which in turn transmits data to a remote computer (32). It would have been obvious to one in the art at the time of the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/549,451

Art Unit: 3736

to combine the electronic wellness information system of Ballantyne et al. with the scale of Cosentino et al., because the system would be more effective due to increased accuracy of measurements during hospital stays and home use. Furthermore, because the system would be electronically automated there is less of a chance of human error. The result of lowering error when taking a physiological measurement would cause system to distribute more accurate information to the patient.

In regards to claims 4, 17, 27, and 37 wherein blood pressure is the physiological measurement to be taken. Cosentino et al. discloses that blood pressure among other physiological measurements can be substituted (column 5, lines 1-9) for the scale (18).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-37 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Astorino whose telephone number is 703-306-9067. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 10:00AM to 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on (703) 308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5648.

MA

November 5, 2002

MAX F. HINDENBURG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700